

25X1A6a Chief of Station, [REDACTED]

Administrative

Representation Allowance

25X1A6c Reference: [REDACTED]

1. It is quite apparent that the estimated costs of \$12,000 for FY 1958 are necessary for the accomplishment of your mission, however, we seem to have a problem on semantics or just what we should call entertainment expenditures ^{by} station personnel.

2. The Division is required to submit each year to the Chief, KUBARK for approval a list of representational allowances to be authorized. Any subsequent adjustments to allowances approved in excess of \$3600 or adjustments raising a station to an amount greater than \$3600 requires approval of the Chief, KUBARK. Your station was approved for FY 1958 the sum of \$5000 exclusive of

25X1A2d1 [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]

25X1A2e

3. \$5000. is a relatively large representational fund but after payments for extra servants there is only a modest sum remaining for entertainment. This is as it should be. Representational expenses are defined as those expenses incurred for the enhancement of the prestige and interests of PEPRIME. With regard to our people we interpret that to mean those expenses for personal amenities and reciprocal courtesies that are incurred solely because of the

official status of our people [REDACTED] Entertainment- 25X1C
ment undertaken with any plan, vague or subtle as it may be, of
furthering official liaison contacts for operational objectives
should not be classified as representational. Therefore it is
presumed that the great bulk of the entertainment in your station
in connection with the station
~~is directed at improving liaison~~ and is properly chargeable to station
operation
support.

3. If you feel that entertainment undertaken by you ~~for~~ for
representational purposes as defined above, will exceed your
authorized allowance we will proceed to the Chief, KUBARK for
approval of such amount as you deem appropriate.

[REDACTED] 25X1A2e

DRAFT

25 February 1958

Dear Tracy:

Upon receipt of your letter relative to representation allowance I referred it to Eric. The determination of the need for representation rests solely with the DDP and the Director. Except for the broad policy for representation and in some cases the budgetary aspect I am not in the chain for approval for DDP field personnel and installations.

Your discussion clearly supports your need for the funds requested but I am advised that it might be more a matter of proper identification of expenses as to representational or operational. I am assured that your problem can and will be satisfactorily resolved.

L. K. White